

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/637,212	08/08/2003	Yoshihiro Hara	5077-000174	4086
27572	7590 07/26/2004		EXAM	INER
HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C.			LINDSAY JR, WALTER LEE	
P.O. BOX 828 BLOOMFIEL	8 LD HILLS, MI 48303		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
	<b>,</b>		2812	
			DATE MAILED: 07/26/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	<del></del>		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Cummer:	10/637,212	HARA ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Walter L. Lindsay, Jr.	2812	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and a light of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by so any reply received by the Office later than three months after the meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a roll. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirderiod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tatute, cause the application to become AE	eply be timely filed  y (30) days will be considered timely.  THS from the mailing date of this communication  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _	·		
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for all	owance except for formal matt	ers, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice und	ler <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the applica	tion.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	drawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	1/		
8) Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> are subject to restriction and	i/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exar	miner.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐			
Applicant may not request that any objection to			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co			I).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by th	e Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action of John P10-132.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority docum			
2. Certified copies of the priority docum			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Bu		received in this National Stage	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a		received.	
	or and continue copied not		
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	• ——	Summary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948	·	s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
<ol> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/St Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	6) Other:	·	

Application/Control Number: 10/637,212 Page 2

Art Unit: 2812

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims9-14 are, drawn to a device, classified in class 257, subclass 1+.
  - II. Claims 1-8 are, drawn to a method of making a semiconductor device, classified in class 438, subclass 285.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions Group II and Group I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case unpatentability of the group I invention would not necessarily imply the unpatentability of the group II invention, since the device of the group I invention could be made by processes materially different from those of the group II invention, for example performing a heat treatment on a surface portion of the trench does not have to be perform during the process of making the device.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

- 5. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

## **Conclusion**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Walter L. Lindsay, Jr. whose telephone number is (571) 272-1674. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John F Niebling can be reached on (571) 272-1679. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2812

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Walter L. Lindsay, Jr. Examiner

Art Unit 2812

, 2004 John F

Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 2800